Dynamics of Low-profile, Inshore Artificial Reefs in The Mississippi Sound
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ABSTRACT

The popularity of low-profile reefs as fishing banks and the need to increase the potential for harvestable food from the ocean has prompted many coastal states to begin artificial reef programs. The territorial waters of Mississippi contain several open Gulf artificial reef sites and inshore, artificial, low profile reefs within the Mississippi Sound. Past studies have provided valuable information on the success of the offshore reefs and their attraction and possible support of recreationally important species. Little documented data is available about inshore, low profile reefs relative to their productivity and ability to attract fish. Mississippi has established over twenty inshore low profile reefs using oyster shell, crushed limestone, and concrete rubble. The increased number of artificial fishing reefs in Mississippi’s coastal waters over the last five years has provided an immediate need in obtaining information on the association of fish populations with these reefs and their subsequent use by the fishing public. An assessment and monitoring program for four of these reefs was implemented in 1998 using entanglement gear, a 4.88meter lined otter trawl, and custom fish traps. Intercept creel surveys were also initiated to obtain usage data by the fishing public. Substrate samples from oyster and limestone were also taken to provide information on seasonality and colonization of benthic fauna on these reefs. These data may provide information on the trophic relationships between attracted finfishes and a particular substrate. The attraction of finfishes by the artificial reef varied significantly by the geographic location, substrate composition, various abiotic factors, and a variety of anthropogenic factors. Cynoscion arenarius, Scomberomorus maculatus, and Micropogonias undulatus were the most numerous, in decreasing abundance, recreationally important species observed from the sampled reefs. These species were more numerous on the reef site than to the adjacent off reef sites; however the differences were not statistically different because of the high variability in the catch.
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INTRODUCTION

Artificial reefs are generally constructed in coastal waters to enhance fish populations and improve near shore fisheries as the result of fish attraction and/or production of new biomass (Fabi and Fiorentini 1994). The primary goals of artificial reefs in coastal habitats have been to enhance the production of reef-associated species (i.e., macroalgae, invertebrates, and fishes) and to increase the convenience or efficiency of harvesting reef-associated species (Seaman et al. 1989, Seaman and Sprague 1991, Pratt 1994). Inshore artificial reefs provide additional advantages for open gulf fishermen by providing proximate, economical access to fishing locations during times when inclement weather discourages offshore travel. The territorial waters of Mississippi contain several open Gulf artificial reef sites and approximately twenty inshore, artificial, low profile reefs within the Mississippi Sound. Stroud (1965) has provided valuable information on the success of the offshore reefs and their attraction and possible support of recreationally important species. Little documented data is available about inshore, low profile reefs relative to their productivity and ability to attract fish. Mississippi, over the past twenty years, has established and maintained several inshore low profile reefs using clam shell, oyster shell, crushed limestone, and concrete rubble. With the increase over the past five years in new reefs being developed in Mississippi’s coastal waters an immediate need is warranted in obtaining information on the association of fish populations with these reefs and their subsequent use by the fishing public.

The main objective of the study was to evaluate the species composition and the potential attraction of the recreationally important species by the low-profile artificial reefs placed in the Mississippi Sound. These species include, but are not limited to: Red drum (Sciaenops ocellatus), Spotted Seatrout (Cynoscion nebulosus), Silver Trout (Cynoscion nothus), and White Seatrout (Cynoscion arenarius), Atlantic Croaker (Micropogonias undulatus), Southern Kingfish (Menticirrhus americanus), Southern Flounder (Paralichthys lethostigma), Spanish Mackerel (Scomberomorus maculatus), and Cobia (Rachycentron canadum).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

An assessment and monitoring program for four of twenty-two available reefs were implemented in March 1998. The four reefs chosen were geographically wide spread along the entire Mississippi Coast (Figure 1). Two reefs were composed of crushed lime stone and two were composed of concrete rubble. Each sample reef was located in highly accessible areas well known and utilized by the fishing public. The sample sites selected were: Hancock County Reef, located just west of the mouth of St. Louis Bay (N 30°17.298, W 89°20.831); Long Beach Reef, located just east of the Long Beach Harbor (N 30°20.852, W 89°08.016); East Deer Island Reef; located just east of Deer
Island (N 30°21.170, W 88°48.310); and Round Island Reef, located just west of Round Island (N 30°17.570, W 88°35.884).

Figure 1. Low-Profile, Inshore, Artificial Reef Sample Sites in the Mississippi Sound
Each site was sampled once a month using a 228.6 meter multi-mesh size
gill net, a 4.88 meter lined otter trawl, and up to five custom fish traps. The gill
net was deployed across the reef and was allowed to fish for one hour. While the gill
net was in the water, the five traps were randomly placed over the reef, and a
ten minute trawl sample was taken on the reef. Upon retrieval of the on-reef gill
net, a second gill net set was deployed at an adjacent "control" site approximately
0.8 kilometers from the reef. A ten minute trawl sample was obtained at
approximately the same distance from the reef site. The fish traps were retrieved
after the end of the net sampling on the reef and control site (approximately three
hours). Total number and length range were recorded in the field for all non-
recreational species. Total length, fork length, standard length (mm), weight (g),
and sex was recorded for recreational species after returning to the Gulf Coast
Research Laboratory (GCRL).

Bimonthly intercept crew surveys were conducted. The survey period was
divided into weekends/holiday's and weekdays. From these two categories, dates
were randomly selected: one weekday and one weekend/holiday were chosen.
Survey questions included time spent fishing, and species and size range caught.
At the end of each interview the captain of the vessel was given a "trip card" to
be filled out at the end of the fishing day and mailed to GCRL. This card
provided additional information on the numbers and size of fish that were caught.

Data was analyzed using Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All finfish species captured can be found in Table 1. Forty-seven (47) total
gill net sets and trawls were done on the four reef sites over the twelve-month
time period, producing forty-six (46) different species of finfish.

Preliminary statistical analysis was done on five recreational species.
Species included: C. arenarius, C. nebulo/usus, M. americanus, M. undulatus, and
S. maculatus. The total number captured on the reef and off the reef were paired
for each species. A paired sign rank test was used to determine if the number on
the reef was significantly different from off the reef. A "p" value was used to
determine whether or not to reject the null hypothesis that the means = 0 for an
alpha value = 0.05. P Values ranged from 1.0 to 0.0119974. Of the five most
abundant recreational species, C. nebulo/usus, was the only fish that was
statistically more abundant (p = 0.0119974) on the reef than off the reef sites.

C. arenarius was the most abundant fish reported by recreational fishers on
the artificial reefs (Figure 2). Creel catches of recreational fishers contained C.
arenarius thirty-four (34) percent of the time. M. americanus, C. nebulo/usus, and
M. undulatus were also present twenty-five (25), Eighteen (18), and 4 percent of
the time, respectively.

The attraction of finfishes to the artificial reef varied significantly by the
geographic location, substrate composition, various abiotic factors, and a variety of anthropogenic factors. The number of these species, generally speaking, was more numerous on the reef site when compared to adjacent off reef sites. Crushed limestone expresses itself as a suitable artificial habitat. The reefs composed of this substrate material have attracted a variety of both pelagic and bottom dwelling fishes.

Figure 2. Species occurrence in creel catch in Mississippi coastal waters
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gill Net</th>
<th>Trawl</th>
<th>Gill Net</th>
<th>Trawl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oligoplos saurus</td>
<td>2094</td>
<td>Anchovis mitchilli</td>
<td>27522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leiostomus xanthurus</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>Sardinella chrysurus</td>
<td>3568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynoscion nebulosus</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>Cynoscion arenarius</td>
<td>1292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynoscion arenarius</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>Arius felis</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pogonias cervius</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Microgonias undulatus</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorosoma petenense</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Leiostomus xanthurus</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micropogonias undulatus</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Pogonias cervius</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menticephalus americanus</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Larimichthys fasciatus</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorosoma cepedianum</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Lagodon rhomboides</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lomisus fasciatus</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Prionosus microlepis</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peprilus alepidotus</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Micropogonias undulatus</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhimiegus bonaparti</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Paralichthys lethostegia</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peprilus berti</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Paralichthys lethostegia</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopristis chrysopterus</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Chondriderus faber</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paralichthys lethostegia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dotrachycheilus pleuropterus</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priacanthus triacanthus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Dorosoma petenense</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagodon rhomboides</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Eleotris saurus</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chionomus ochotensis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Brevoortia patrona</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinchipe不属于</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Trinca mascle</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sciaenops ocellatus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Trichurus lepturus</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinca mascula</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Synodus io</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priacanthus attenuis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mepal cephalus</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prionosus attenuis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Peprilus alepidotus</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bold Type - recreational species**
Round Island Reef

Geographic location has played an important role in the abundance and sustainability of these reefs. The Round Island reef site was highly susceptible to various abiotic factors including weather, wave action, and ocean currents. It is the deepest of the four reefs, with an average depth of 2.43 meters. This reef is more open to the Gulf of Mexico than the others. It has produced a larger variety of fishes, including R. canadum, and various shark species. This area has been noted as a possible shark nursery ground. Sampling has shown that during the summer months, a large abundance of sharks can be found on or in very close proximity to this reef area. Since this site seems to be heavily utilized by sport and commercial fishermen, it has been noted that during the months of shrimp season, fish abundance decreased. Total capture of fish from sampling during this high use period reflects this decrease in abundance.

East Deer Island Reef

The East Deer Island sample site is another heavily used fishing area utilized by recreational, commercial and charter boat interests. It has been noted in sampling that during times of heavy boat traffic in the Biloxi Ship Channel, fish were sparse in numbers. Through sampling efforts, it has been noted that excessive sedimentation due to Hurricane Georges in September 1998 has had a detrimental effect on this area. The dredging of the ship channel has also impacted the site by increasing foreign sediment deposition. The ship channel runs approximately one-half of a kilometer away from the site.

Hancock County Reef and Long Beach Reef

The remaining two reefs are protected from some of the aforementioned factors. These two reefs, Hancock County reef, and Long Beach reef are located in very close proximity to the beach or shoreline areas. Both are located approximately 300 meters from the shore. These reefs, combined, have supported the greatest number of recreationally important species.
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