Osmotic stress does not trigger brevetoxin production in the dinoflagellate *Karenia brevis*

William G. Sunda\textsuperscript{a,1}, Cheska Burleson\textsuperscript{b}, D. Ransom Hardison\textsuperscript{a}, Jeanine S. Morey\textsuperscript{c}, Zhihong Wang\textsuperscript{b}, Jennifer Wolny\textsuperscript{b}, Alina A. Corcoran\textsuperscript{b}, Leanne J. Flewelling\textsuperscript{b}, and Frances M. Van Dolah\textsuperscript{c}

\textsuperscript{a}Beaufort Laboratory, National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Beaufort, NC 28516; \textsuperscript{b}Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Fish and Wildlife Research Institute, St. Petersburg, FL 33701; and \textsuperscript{c}Marine Biotoxins Program, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Center for Coastal and Environmental Health and Biomolecular Research, Charleston, SC 29412

Edited by David M. Karl, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI, and approved March 25, 2013 (received for review October 15, 2012)

With the global proliferation of toxic harmful algal bloom species, there is a need to identify the environmental and biological factors that regulate toxin production. One such species, *Karenia brevis*, forms nearly annual blooms that threaten coastal regions throughout the Gulf of Mexico. This dinoflagellate produces brevetoxins, which are potent neurotoxins that cause neurotoxic shellfish poisoning and respiratory illness in humans, as well as massive fish kills. A recent publication reported that a rapid decrease in salinity increased cellular toxin quotas in *K. brevis* and hypothesized that brevetoxins serve a role in osmoregulation. This finding implied that salinity shifts could significantly alter the toxic effects of blooms. We repeated the original experiments separately in three different laboratories and found no evidence for increased brevetoxin production in response to low-salinity stress in any of the eight *K. brevis* strains we tested, including three used in the original study. Thus, we find no support for an osmoregulatory function of brevetoxins. The original publication also stated that there was no known cellular function for brevetoxins. However, there is increasing evidence that brevetoxins promote survival of the dinoflagellates by deterring grazing by zooplankton. Whether they have other as-yet-identified cellular functions is currently unknown.

Harmful algal blooms threaten human health and damage coastal ecosystems worldwide. In the Gulf of Mexico, the atactic dinoflagellate *Karenia brevis* causes nearly annual toxic blooms. The physiology, ecology, and adverse effects of *K. brevis* have been well studied since the species was described in the 1940s (1). Notably, *K. brevis* produces brevetoxins, a family of potent polyether neurotoxins that bind to voltage-activated sodium channels in cell membranes, preventing normal nerve and muscle activity (2, 3). A nontoxic polymer that inhibits brevetoxin function, brevenal, is also produced in small amounts by this species (4). In humans, brevetoxins cause neurotoxic shellfish poisoning, and brevetoxin-contaminated aerosols cause respiratory irritation and illness (2, 5). Blooms also cause massive fish kills (6), as well as bird and marine mammal mortalities (7, 8). In 2005, a bloom of *K. brevis* lasted for more than a year and caused extensive mortalities at all trophic levels in lagoons, coastal ecosystems, and offshore reefs on the west Florida shelf (8, 9).

Because of the extensive damage caused by *K. brevis*, there has been considerable interest in understanding the function and regulation of brevetoxins. Competition experiments reveal that *K. brevis* produces allelopathic compounds, which inhibit the growth of competing phytoplankton and thereby help enable this slow-growing species to dominate; however, brevetoxins themselves do not appear to be responsible for this inhibition (10, 11). Recent studies support the hypothesis that brevetoxins serve as grazing deterrents, which promote population survival by decreasing grazing mortality rates (12–14), consistent with a classic defensive role for this neurotoxin. Furthermore, very recently published experiments show that limitation of growth rate by nitrogen and phosphorus increases cellular brevetoxin concentrations by two- to threefold, consistent with the behavior of other grazing defense toxins in terrestrial plants and phytoplankton (15–18). However, before these recent findings, brevetoxins were thought to be constitutively expressed, and the cellular function of these and other algal toxins was debated (19–21). Errera and Campbell (22) recently reported that a rapid decrease in salinity from 35 to 27, which is within the optimal growth range for *K. brevis*, caused up to a 16-fold increase in cellular brevetoxins in three *K. brevis* strains (Wilson, TXB4, and SP3). Subsequently, these same authors said their original data were wrong because of a calculation error and that the observed brevetoxin increase resulting from the low-salinity shock was actually 10-fold lower, or 20–53% (23). On the basis of these results, these authors argued that osmoregulation may be the primary cellular function of brevetoxins and that salinity could be a major factor regulating brevetoxins in coastal waters. In previous, longer-term culture experiments from this same research group with the same strains, the authors also reported significantly higher toxin levels per cell for strain TBX4 grown at lower (27) than at higher (35) salinity; however, they reported the same toxin content for the Wilson strain at the two salinities and a sevenfold lower brevetoxin content per cell in strain SP3 grown at the lower salinity (24). This latter result is the opposite of the pattern reported by these authors for this strain in their subsequent short-term salinity stress experiments (22, 23).

In this article, we challenge the conclusions of Errera and Campbell (22, 23). We present research from independent studies conducted at three separate laboratories that show no effect of abrupt decreases in salinity (or longer-term exposure) on cellular brevetoxin content in eight different strains of *K. brevis*. These findings fail to support the hypothesis that osmotic stress triggers brevetoxin production or that brevetoxins have an osmoregulatory function.

**Results**

Independent sets of experiments were conducted in three separate laboratories to quantify changes in cellular toxin quotas and volume per cell in eight different *K. brevis* strains after an abrupt change in salinity from 35 or 36 to 27. These salinity changes were equivalent to those used in the experiments of Errera and Campbell (22), and three of the strains tested here (Wilson, TXB4, and SP3) also were used in those experiments.

Two sets of experiments were conducted by laboratory A. In the first set, *K. brevis* strains CCMP 2228, CCMP 2229, and SP3 were grown semicontinuously such that they maintained constutively expressed, and the cellular function of these and other algal toxins was debated (19–21). Errera and Campbell (22) recently reported that a rapid decrease in salinity from 35 to 27, which is within the optimal growth range for *K. brevis*, caused up to a 16-fold increase in cellular brevetoxins in three *K. brevis* strains (Wilson, TXB4, and SP3). Subsequently, these same authors said their original data were wrong because of a calculation error and that the observed brevetoxin increase resulting from the low-salinity shock was actually 10-fold lower, or 20–53% (23). On the basis of these results, these authors argued that osmoregulation may be the primary cellular function of brevetoxins and that salinity could be a major factor regulating brevetoxins in coastal waters. In previous, longer-term culture experiments from this same research group with the same strains, the authors also reported significantly higher toxin levels per cell for strain TBX4 grown at lower (27) than at higher (35) salinity; however, they reported the same toxin content for the Wilson strain at the two salinities and a sevenfold lower brevetoxin content per cell in strain SP3 grown at the lower salinity (24). This latter result is the opposite of the pattern reported by these authors for this strain in their subsequent short-term salinity stress experiments (22, 23).

In this article, we challenge the conclusions of Errera and Campbell (22, 23). We present research from independent studies conducted at three separate laboratories that show no effect of abrupt decreases in salinity (or longer-term exposure) on cellular brevetoxin content in eight different strains of *K. brevis*. These findings fail to support the hypothesis that osmotic stress triggers brevetoxin production or that brevetoxins have an osmoregulatory function.

Independent sets of experiments were conducted in three separate laboratories to quantify changes in cellular toxin quotas and volume per cell in eight different *K. brevis* strains after an abrupt change in salinity from 35 or 36 to 27. These salinity changes were equivalent to those used in the experiments of Errera and Campbell (22), and three of the strains tested here (Wilson, TXB4, and SP3) also were used in those experiments.

Two sets of experiments were conducted by laboratory A. In the first set, *K. brevis* strains CCMP 2228, CCMP 2229, and SP3 were grown semicontinuously such that they maintained
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nutrient-sufficient maximum growth rates throughout the experiment (Fig. S1). Strains CCMP 2228 and 2229 had growth rates of 0.55–0.58 d\(^{-1}\), whereas strain SP3 had rates of 0.32 d\(^{-1}\) and 0.33 d\(^{-1}\) at salinities of 36 and 27, respectively. There was no apparent salinity effect on brevetoxin content per cell in any of the three strains (Fig. 1). Mean brevetoxin per cell values in the control and low-salinity-stressed cultures were 10.6 ± 1.4 and 11.8 ± 2.0 pg per cell, respectively, for strain CCMP 2228; 10.2 ± 1.7 and 10.1 ± 1.2 pg per cell, respectively, for strain CCMP 2229; and 11.1 ± 1.1 and 10.7 ± 1.2 pg per cell, respectively, for strain SP3. Likewise, there was no apparent difference in cellular brevetoxins normalized to cell volume between control and low-salinity treatments for any of the three strains (Fig. 1).

Mean biovolume per cell increased 18–26% within 3 min of the salinity decreasing from 36 to 27 (Fig. 1). The increases tended to persist in strains CCMP 2228 and 2229 but declined with time in strain SP3. Levels of chlorophyll a per unit of biovolume were higher in the controls than in the low-salinity treatment cultures of strains CCMP 2229 and SP3; at least some of this effect was caused by the lower volume per cell (Fig. 1).

This set of experiments used single control and treatment cultures, which did not allow for valid statistical analysis of the data for each strain. However, in a second experiment conducted with strain CCMP 2228 during a 48-h period, quadruplicate control and low-salinity treatment cultures were used, which allowed for statistical analysis of the results (Fig. 2). A decrease in salinity from 36 to 27 significantly increased the mean cell volume \(F(1,31) = 478; P < 0.001\), one-way repeated measures ANOVA, with an average increase of 17.4% within the first 3 min and a 9.7% increase after 48 h. As before, the salinity decrease had no effect on toxin content per cell \(F(1,31) = 2.36; P = 0.153\), one-way repeated measures ANOVA (Fig. 2). The average specific growth rate was 0.42 ± 0.02 (± SD) d\(^{-1}\) in the control cultures and 0.48 ± 0.01 d\(^{-1}\) in the low-salinity treatments, which is lower than the values in the initial longer-term experiment (0.55 and 0.58 d\(^{-1}\); Fig. S1) but similar to the lower values (0.44 and 0.47 d\(^{-1}\)) measured previously at a salinity of 36 (and the same temperature as used here) in nutrient-replete cultures of this \(K.\) brevis strain (17, 18).

In experiments conducted in laboratory B, \(K.\) brevis strains TXB4, Wilson, 257, and 126 were grown in batch cultures. Treatments were diluted more than twofold with low-salinity seawater to lower the salinity from 35 to 27. Control cultures were diluted by an equivalent amount of water with a salinity of 35. There was no effect of salinity on culture growth (Fig. 3). The cultures were treated in late log or early stationary phase, and thus had lower growth rates than in the previous experiments (0.06 d\(^{-1}\)

---

**Fig. 1.** Mean cell volume, chlorophyll a normalized to cell volume, and brevetoxins per cell and per unit of cell volume vs. time for strains CCMP 2228, CCMP 2229, and SP3 for controls and low-salinity-stressed cultures (data from experiment 1, laboratory A). Error bars show SDs for triplicate analyses in single cultures. If no error is shown, its dimension was less than the size of the data point.

**Fig. 2.** Time course of changes in cell abundance (cells per μL), mean volume per cell, and cellular brevetoxins (pg per cell) in control and low-salinity shock treatments of strain CCMP 2228 (data from experiment 2, laboratory A). Error bars show SDs for measurements in four replicate cultures. Error bars for mean volume per cell cannot be seen, as they are smaller than the dimensions of the data points.
for the Wilson strain and 0.11 q\textsuperscript{−1} for the other three strains), likely because of nutrient or CO\textsubscript{2} limitation of growth rate.

Low-salinity stress did not increase brevetoxin per cell in any of the four strains (Fig. 3; Table S1). The only statistically significant difference in brevetoxins per cell during the experiments was between control and low-salinity stress cultures of strain 126, in which the controls exhibited significantly higher values. However, this increase was driven by a single sampling point. Other transient differences were also noted; for example, an increase in brevetoxin per cell was seen in strain TXB4 at 24 h. There were also differences in cell abundance between control and stressed cultures of the Wilson and 257 strains, but these were small overall (<10%; Fig. 3).

The brevetoxin per cell values for the Wilson strain were 1.0–2.2 pg per cell (Fig. 3), which is an order of magnitude lower than values reported previously in nutrient-sufficient and nitrogen-limited cultures of this strain (17 ± 1 and 22 ± 3 pg per cell, respectively) (17) and values reported for the toxic Wilson strain culture in the experiments of laboratory C (10–14 pg per cell; Fig. 4). However, this strain has been in culture for over 50 y, and various cell lines maintained in different laboratories have been observed to rapidly shift their toxin concentrations up or down and then maintain their new toxin profiles for months or years. The reasons for these abrupt shifts in cellular toxins are unknown and were a main impetus behind the experiments described in

---

**Fig. 3.** Cell concentrations and brevetoxins per cell for strains TXB4, Wilson (low-toxin cell line), 257, and 126 before and at various times after culture dilution and the resulting initiation of osmotic stress (data from laboratory B). Vertical lines indicate the point of culture dilution. Cell concentrations before culture dilution have been adjusted downward by the dilution factor to allow ready comparison with postdilution values. Data represent means of single analyses from four replicate treatment and control flasks. Error bars give associated SDs. If no error is shown, its dimension was less than the size of the data point.

---

**Fig. 4.** Brevetoxin per cell and mean volume per cell for toxic and nontoxic cell lines of the Wilson strain before and after the salinity decrease from 35 to 27 (data from laboratory C). Error bars show SDs for five replicate cultures. Asterisks indicate significant differences between treatment pairs (paired t test, P < 0.05). “<DL” indicates measured values below the detection limit.
laboratory C. The researchers wanted to determine whether a salinity change would induce a nontoxic strain to produce toxin.

In the experiments of laboratory C, toxic and nontoxic cell lines of the K. brevis Wilson strain were subjected to an abrupt salinity change from 35 to 27. In the nontoxic Wilson culture, brevetoxin cell quotas remained below the detection limit (0.17 pg per cell) in both the control and stressed cultures 2 h after the salinity shift (Fig. 4). Similarly, osmotic stress did not significantly influence total brevetoxin per cell in the toxic Wilson culture 2 h after the salinity shift (Fig. 4; Table S2).

The toxic Wilson culture exhibited a significant increase in mean volume per cell from 6,722 to 8,410 μm³ after the salinity shift (Fig. 4; Tables S2 and S3). Likewise, there was a significant volume increase from 6,147 to 7,188 μm³ in the nontoxic Wilson strain (Table S3). Significant increases in mean volume per cell (nontoxic isolate only) were also observed in the controls, which experienced turbulence associated with mixing but no osmotic stress (Tables S2 and S3). Cell abundance did not significantly decrease after osmotic stress, indicating that the stress did not kill the cells (Tables S2 and S3).

Discussion

We report the results of experiments at three independent laboratories that investigated the role of osmotic stress on brevetoxin production in eight different K. brevis strains. Despite different experimental designs and culture conditions in the studies at the three laboratories, each set of experiments independently rejected the hypothesis that osmotic stress stimulates brevetoxin production. K. brevis cultures osmotically stressed by a sudden decrease in salinity did not exhibit higher brevetoxin cell quotas than control cultures. These findings were consistent across each of eight K. brevis strains and among strains growing at their nutrient-sufficient maximum rates (0.3–0.6 d⁻¹) and at low, nutrient-limited or CO₂-limited growth rates (−0.1 d⁻¹). Our findings contrast with those of Errera and Campbell (22, 23), who reported that "brevetoxin production increased in response to low salinity stress." Because of the substantial adverse effects of K. brevis and brevetoxins in the Gulf of Mexico and a desire to understand their regulation, Errera and Campbell’s reported findings have garnered much attention from researchers (e.g., 25–27), as well as media outlets, but we found that their reported findings are not reproducible. We further claim that their findings reveal a "critical gap in knowledge" are unsubstantiated. In the following discussion, we examine experimental flaws and logical inconsistencies in the previous study that led to inaccurate conclusions.

Errera and Campbell (22, 23) drew their conclusions principally from an experiment in which cultures were osmotically stressed by a rapid salinity decrease from 35 to 27. They reported a 20–53% increase in cellular brevetoxins in the low-salinity-stressed cultures relative to "controls." However, there was no evidence of true controls in this experiment, such as parallel portions of the cultures that did not receive an osmotic stress. Rather, the authors used previously published data (24) to serve as controls in their 2011 study. This information is found in the figure legends; for example, in the legend to figure 1 of Errera and Campbell (22), they write: "Mean total brevetoxin cell quota for three clones under control [acclimated to salinities of 27 (black) and 35 (white); redrawn from ref. 11] and after hypo-osmotic stress." The lack of true controls obscured any possible differences resulting from calculation errors or problems in toxin analysis. The inherent value of controls in the design of experiments has been discussed elsewhere at length (e.g., 28–30) and will not be discussed here further.

Errera and Campbell (22) further speculated that brevetoxins may "facilitate osmoregulation through interaction with Na⁺ channels and allow for ion adjustment." Although this speculation is interesting, it is not consistent with our current understanding of osmosis or cell biology. Water diffuses from areas of higher water activity (lower concentration of solutes) to areas of lower activity (higher concentration of solutes). For the low-salinity stress experiments, the decrease in salinity results in a higher water activity in the seawater surrounding the cells. As a consequence, water is predicted to move through the cell membrane into the cell, which has a lower intracellular water activity than the surrounding seawater after the salinity decrease. This influx of water causes the cells to swell, as observed in the experiments of laboratory A and laboratory C (where cell size was measured) and those of Errera and Campbell (22). Errera and Campbell hypothesized that brevetoxins facilitate osmoregulation through interactions with Na⁺ channels, allowing for intracellular ion adjustment. However, there is no known mechanism for this to occur. Brevetoxins bind with the voltage-gated sodium channels of cell membranes and hold these channels in an open configuration (2, 3). This allows sodium ions to flux into the cells, driven by the electrochemical gradient for these ions across the outer cell membrane (31). Unfortunately, such an inward flux of sodium ions would increase the intracellular solute concentration and thereby decrease the water activity, which is the opposite of the needed effect for an effective osmoregulatory response to the lower external seawater salinity.

Errera and Campbell (22) also stated that the function of brevetoxins was unknown. However, there is increasing evidence that brevetoxins promote the survival of K. brevis by deterring grazing by zooplankton (12–14). Studies investigating the functional role of toxins in organisms have a long history, and one that is deep-seated in terrestrial systems, including numerous terrestrial plants (e.g., 16, 32, 33). Because the defensive function of toxic secondary metabolites in organisms is indisputable, it is often accepted that toxin production has largely evolved to defend prey against predators (e.g., 16, 34–36). Within aquatic systems, there is substantial evidence in support of the evolution of chemical defenses (37, 38), and this evidence extends to both macro- and microalgae (13, 39–41). As such, productive lines of inquiry regarding the function of brevetoxins not only should include how production changes with environmental factors but also must consider the role of biological interactions, especially those between phytoplankton and grazing organisms.

Methods

Experiments were conducted independently in each of three laboratories to quantify changes in toxin cell quotas and cell size after a sudden decrease in salinity. Eight K. brevis strains were tested, including three of the four isolates used by Errera and Campbell (22, 23) (Table S4). Because the culture conditions and experimental methods differed in detail among laboratories, the methods are presented separately for each laboratory, designated laboratory A (D.R.H. and W.G.S.), laboratory B (C.B., J.W., L.J.F., and A.A.C.), and laboratory C (J.S.M., Z.W., and F.M.V.D.).

Laboratory A. Two sets of experiments were conducted by laboratory A to determine the effect of an abrupt salinity shift from 36 to 27. In all of the experiments, the cells were first preacclimated for 30–50 generations at their maximum growth rates in 36-salinity medium, using semicontinuous batch culture methods (17). A first set of experiments examined the response of three K. brevis strains (CCMP 2228, CCMP 2229, and SP3), using single control and low-salinity treatment cultures. For each strain, a 1-L culture of exponentially growing cells was split into separate sterilized polycarbonate bottles, which became the control and osmotic stress treatments. The osmotic stress culture was diluted with sterile Milli-Q water (containing the same nutrient enrichment as the 36-salinity medium) to adjust the salinity from 36 to 27. The 36-salinity control either received no further treatment [strain SP3, the one previously used by Errera and Campbell (22, 23)] or was diluted by four- to sixfold with fresh 36-salinity culture medium to maintain exponential growth of the cells. Treatment and control cultures were diluted with fresh media at appropriate times to ensure that the growth of the cells was not limited by nutrients or carbon dioxide (Fig. S1). These cultures were analyzed in triplicate for cell numbers, mean cell volume, brevetoxins, and chlorophyll a during an 11–22-d period (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1).
A second experiment used the same methods and procedures except that it examined a single strain (CCMP 2228) during a shorter period (48 h; Fig. 2) and used quadruplicate control and low-salinity shock treatments. Here a 1:t control culture was subdivided into eight 125-mL cultures; four of these cultures were diluted with nutrient-enriched Milli-Q water to adjust the salinity from 36 to 27, and the other four served as controls and were diluted with an equal volume of 36-salinity medium. Each control and treatment culture was then measured in duplicate for cell numbers and mean volume per cell and was measured singly for brevetoxins after 3 min and 24, 48 h. Because of the large number of replicate treatments and smaller culture volume, these cultures were not measured for chlorophyll a.

Cells in both experiments were cultured at 23°C on a 14 h:10 h daily light-dark cycle. Light was provided at an intensity of 120 μmol photons m−2 s−1 from Duro-Test Vita Light fluorescent bulbs. Media consisted of 0.2-μm-filtered Gulf Stream seawater (salinity 36 or 27) amended with 64 μM NaN2O4, 4 μM NaH2PO4, vitamins (0.074 mM L-ascorbic acid, 0.4 mM L-biotin, and 60 μM L-thiamine), 10 mM Na2SeO3, and an EDTA-trace metal buffer system (42). The 36-salinity medium and nutrient-enriched Milli-Q water used for salinity adjustment were sterilized by microwave treatment (43). Culture pH was monitored throughout the experiments (17) to ensure no carbon dioxide fixation occurred. The pH ranged from 8.1 to 8.3.

Culture salinity in all experiments was measured using a Thermo Scientific Orion conductivity meter model 1364 (Thermo Scientific) equipped with an Orion conductivity cell (model 013010A). Culture samples were measured for cell concentrations, mean volume per cell, and total cell volume per liter of culture with a Beckman Coulter Multisizer 3 electronic particle counter (17). Specific growth rates in the first set of experiments were computed from linear regressions of the natural log of total cell volume (per liter of culture) vs. time after correcting for culture dilution (44). Specific growth rates in the second experiment were determined from similar linear regressions of the natural log of cell concentration vs. time. Chlorophyll a was measured by filtering cells onto 25-mm GF/F filters (Whatman), extracting them with a 90:10 (vol/vol) acetonitrile-water mixture, and measuring the extracted chlorophyll a with a Turner Design 10-AU fluorometer (45).

Brevetoxins were analyzed as described previously (17). For toxin analysis, cultures were gently mixed and 20-mL aliquots were removed and extracted using liquid/liquid separations with ethyl acetate. Before separations, culture aliquots were combined 1:1 by volume with ethyl acetate and the mixture was sonicated for 3 min to ensure total release of brevetoxins (17). Collected ethyl acetate fractions were desalted with Milli-Q water and concentrated with a Speedvac (Savant). Concentrated fractions were measured for brevetoxins using an Agilent 1100 liquid chromatograph coupled to a ThermoFinnigan TSQ Quantum triple quadrupole mass spectrometer with an electrospary ion source interface. The analytical conditions have been previously described in detail (46, 47). An external standard curve of purified brevetoxins 1, 2, and 3 (World Ocean Solutions) was used to quantify amounts of extracted brevetoxins.

### Laboratory B

Batch cultures of *K. brevis* clones TBX4, 257, 126, and a low-salinity clone of the Wilson strain were grown at 23°C in 35-salinity GF/F media (48). The cultures were grown on a 12:12 h light:dark cycle with light provided from cool white fluorescent lights. Batch cultures were maintained in 250-mL Delong flasks supplied with autoclaved, 20-μm-filtered, 35-salinity seawater amended with f/2 medium enrichments (50) with the following modifications: equimolar ferric sequestrene (Alpha Products, Danvers, MA) was used in place of EDTA and FeCl3, and 0.01 μM Na2SiO3 was added. For the first experiments, sterile Milli-Q water was added to adjust the culture salinity to 27. An equivalent amount of salinity-35 seawater was added to the control cultures. The amended cultures were then gently rocked to mix. Salinity was measured using a refractometer (Fisher Scientific). For analysis of cell abundance and average volume per cell, 3-mL subsamples were removed from each replicate (n = 5) flask and fixed with glacial acetic acid just before and after the salinity shock, and again 2 h after the shock. The fixed samples were stored at 4°C until analysis. A Beckman Coulter Multisizer 3 Coulter Counter was used for triplicate measurements of cell concentrations and mean volume.

Samples for toxin analyses were collected 2 h after the salinity shift. Fifty-milliliter aliquots of culture were centrifuged at 600 × g for 10 min, and the cell pellet was resuspended in 500 μL methanol and stored at −20°C overnight. Liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry was performed on an Agilent 1100 LC/MSD Plus (Agilent) coupled to a Applied Biosystems/MDS Sciex 4000 Q TRAP hybrid triple quadrupole/linear ion trap mass spectrometer equipped with a Turbo VTM source (Applied Biosystems), using selected ion monitoring or multiple-reaction monitoring in positive ion mode, as previously described (51). The detection limit using selected ion monitoring was 1.2 ng/mL for PBtx-1, 0.8 ng/mL for PBtx-2, and 1.2 ng/mL for PBtx-3 with a 10-μL injection. Using the multiple-reaction monitoring method, the detection limit was 0.9 ng/mL for PBtx-3 and 2 ng/mL for PBtx-1 and −2, with a 5-μL injection. The mass of all congeners was summed and divided by the measured cell concentration to give picograms brevetoxin per cell.
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Fig. S1. Total cell volume per liter of culture vs. time for strains CCMP 2228, CCMP 2229, and SP3 in 36-salinity control cultures (open circles) and in cultures exposed to a low-salinity (27) shock (closed circles) (data from the first set of experiments, laboratory A). (Left) Total biovolume per liter of culture on a log scale vs. time. (Right) Natural log of total biovolume (per liter of culture) vs. time after correcting for successive culture dilutions. Error bars, SDs for triplicate analyses of single cultures. If no error is shown, its dimension was less than the size of the data point. On the right, linear regression lines are shown with associated slopes (specific growth rates), slope SEs, and r² values.

Table S1. F, df, and P values from repeated-measures ANOVA that compare cell abundance and brevetoxin per cell between control and low-salinity-stressed cultures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Cell abundance</th>
<th>Brevetoxin per cell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TXB4</td>
<td>F(1, 47) = 0.52, P = 0.47</td>
<td>F(1, 47) = 1.0, P = 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson</td>
<td>F(1, 47) = 9.0, P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>F(1, 47) = 3.1, P = 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>257</td>
<td>F(1, 47) = 8.4, P &lt; 0.01</td>
<td>F(1, 47) = 3.0, P = 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>F(1, 47) = 0.74, P = 0.78</td>
<td>F(1, 47) = 14, P &lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were log or inverse transformed to meet the assumptions of normality and equal variance. The statistical analyses were conducted with Statistica version 10 (Statsoft). The data are from the experiment in laboratory B.
Table S2. Brevetoxin content, cell volume, and cell abundance of control and low-salinity-stressed cultures of the toxic and nontoxic Wilson strain before (t = 0 h) and 2 h after low salinity shock

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wilson 0 h</th>
<th>Wilson 2 h</th>
<th>Nontoxic Wilson 0 h</th>
<th>Nontoxic Wilson 2 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Brevetoxin, pg per cell</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (35)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>13.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress (27)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>10.7 ± 2.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cell volume, μm³</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (35)</td>
<td>6,693 ± 156</td>
<td>7,066 ± 383</td>
<td>5,654 ± 208</td>
<td>6,068 ± 287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress (27)</td>
<td>6,722 ± 144</td>
<td>8,410 ± 149</td>
<td>6,147 ± 366</td>
<td>7,188 ± 449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cell concentration, thousands per L</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (35)</td>
<td>2,247 ± 412</td>
<td>1,989 ± 321</td>
<td>2,347 ± 100</td>
<td>2,662 ± 282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress (27)</td>
<td>2,009 ± 285</td>
<td>1,613 ± 464</td>
<td>2,622 ± 244</td>
<td>2,581 ± 289</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average values of five replicates ± SDs are shown. Data are from the experiment in laboratory C.

Table S3. Results of two-tailed t tests comparing cell abundance and cell volume between initial values and those after 2 h in high-salinity (35) controls and low-salinity (27) stressed cultures of the toxic and nontoxic Wilson strain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Cell abundance</th>
<th>Volume per cell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wilson (35 salinity)</td>
<td>t(1,4) = 1.12, P = 0.324</td>
<td>t(1,4) = 2.58, P = 0.0611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson (27 salinity)</td>
<td>t(1,4) = 0.57, P = 0.598</td>
<td>t(1,4) = 25.9, P &lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nontoxic Wilson (35 salinity)</td>
<td>t(1,4) = 2.81, P = 0.0485</td>
<td>t(1,4) = 4.03, P = 0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nontoxic Wilson (27 salinity)</td>
<td>t(1,4) = 1.05, P = 0.3533</td>
<td>t(1,4) = 7.5, P = 0.0017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each Wilson strain, the cell line, response variable, t-values, df, and P values are given. The data are from the experiment in laboratory C.

Table S4. Karenia brevis strains used in the salinity stress experiments in laboratories A, B, and C, including their source, isolation date, and collection site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Isolation date</th>
<th>Collection site</th>
<th>Laboratory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP3*</td>
<td>UTMSI</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>South Padre Island, TX</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMP 2228</td>
<td>NCMA</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>New Pass, FL</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMP 2229</td>
<td>NCMA</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Off Manasota Key, FL</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCFWC 268 (Wilson)*,†</td>
<td>CCFWC</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>John’s Pass, FL</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCFWC 267 (TXB4)*</td>
<td>CCFWC</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>South Padre Island, TX</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCFWC 257 (Char C2)</td>
<td>CCFWC</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Sanibel Island, FL</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCFWC 126</td>
<td>CCFWC</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Stump Pass, FL</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCFWC 268 (Wilson)*,†</td>
<td>CCFWC</td>
<td>1953</td>
<td>John’s Pass, FL</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson (nontoxic)†</td>
<td>MML</td>
<td>1953</td>
<td>John’s Pass, FL</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCFWC, Culture Collection of the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (St. Petersburg, FL); MML, Mote Marine Laboratory (Sarasota, FL); NCMA, Provasoli-Guillard National Center for Marine Algae and Microbiota (West Boothbay Harbor, ME); UTMSI, University of Texas Marine Science Institute (Port Aransas, TX).

*Strains used in the Errera and Campbell (2011) study (1).
†Strains initially started as monoclonal cultures, whose toxin profiles are currently different.